

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.D. B. Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspito.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO	
10/010,038	12/06/2001	Mark Laurence Brader	X-11232B 8529		
25885	7590 09/30/2003				
ELI LILLY AND COMPANY			EXAMINER		
PATENT DIVISION P.O. BOX 6288 INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46206-6288			TELLER, ROY R		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1654		
			DATE MAILED: 09/30/2003	DATE MAILED: 09/30/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\$	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
	10/010,038		BRADER, MARK LAURENCE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Roy Teller		1654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, hower within the statutory min will apply and will expire cause the application to	ever, may a reply be timel imum of thirty (30) days v SIX (6) MONTHS from th b become ABANDONED	y filed vill be considered timely. e mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 D</u>	<u> ecember 2001</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-fi	nal.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>85-118</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>85-118</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲		PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the instant application, received 12/6/01.

Claims 1-84 were canceled. New claims 85-118 were added.

Claims 85-118 are pending.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal

Application/Control Number: 10/010,038

Art Unit: 1654

disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 85-118 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-24 of Brader (U.S. Patent No. 6,268,335) in view of Brader (U.S. Patent No. 6,465,426) (claims 13-41).

The claimed invention is drawn to a microcrystal comprising: B29-Ne-teradecanoyldes(B30)-human insulin; a complexing compound; a hexamer-stabilizing compound; and a divalent metal cation.

The claimed invention is drawn to Brader (US '355) claim 1, which discloses a microcrystal comprising: (a) a deriviated protein selected from deriviated insulin, deriviated insulin analogs, and deriviated proinsulins; (b) a complexing compound; (c) a hexamerstabilizing compound; and (d) a divalent metal cation. Brader (US '355) does not teach an amorphous precipitate.

The claimed invention is drawn to Brader (US '426) claim 13, which discloses an amorphous precipitate comprising: (a) a deriviated protein selected from deriviated insulin, deriviated insulin analogs, and deriviated proinsulins; (b) a complexing compound; (c) a hexamer-stabilizing compound; and (d) a divalent metal cation.

Althought the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both are drawn to a microcrystal composition comprising essentially the same elements therein, and an amorphous precipitate comprising essentially the same elements therein. Brader (US '426) discloses that variations and changes may be made by those skilled in the art without departing from the spirit of the invention (column 55, lines 45-47).

Page 4

Conclusion

All claims are rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roy Teller whose telephone number is (703)305-4243. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 5:30am to 2:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback, can be reached on (703) 306-3220. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0196.

RT 1654 9/25/03

> ĆHRISTOPHER R. TATE PRIMARY EXAMINER